

BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

May 1970

INT'L STUDENT CONFERENCE

VS

U.S. NAT'L STUDENT ASSN'

VS

CENTRAL INTELL AGENCY

CHRONOLOGY

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE 30 DEC 83

(16)

6 May Received copy of Complaint from
Anderson.

8 May Called Anderson to give
him Morrison's name. He
indicated a serious attorney
of the firm Michael Nassbaum
had told him 'to try to find
a "hole" in the releases
that they would probably want
to try to sit down and talk
to someone about this. I
~~thought the Agency might pay~~
him on the side, he should
indicate that was not possible.
Even if the Agency wanted to
help, which it does not, it is
prohibited from doing so.

25 June Anderson called Agency operator
asking who would accept service on
behalf of Agency or who to name as
service got [redacted] [redacted]
called [redacted] [redacted] told
him to simply answer the call at 2430
E Street, NW.

June Sunday Post article

U.S. Attorney's office. He said they can't sue the Govt in that court (GS) and would presumably have to remove to ~~the~~ the District Court. Ross said he had no one available to check the case in GS so suggested we do it and keep him advised (1204-281). Discussed this w/ ~~the~~ P. Dwyer. I would go to court house afternoon.

1350 Went to Court House found that a third-party complaint ~~had~~ has been filed - was on 16 June, (last Tuesday)

1430 Called Haman; not in, will call back

24 June

10850 Called Haman - not in yet

1115 Haman called; asked if we had been served yet. NO. Ask who was named in the 3rd party complaint: CIA; he said fine, when we are served bring whole thing down to him and his people will know to dismiss. "It is not a suitable thing; you can sue the head of an agency in the U.S. Govt but not in it."

25 June Rec'd copy of "Opposition to
 Motion for setting of time to
 Oppose P's Motion for Summary
 Judgment" from David Carlimer,
 Attorney for P.

9:45 Briefed ~~_____~~
 D ~~_____~~ on status of case; said
 no series. Decided to send
 U.S. Marshals office

~~_____~~
 Spoke to a "deputy" who was not
 familiar with case and would not look
 it up. Said if someone delivered
 to Marshall's office or clerk, "they
 have got it" get it - and
 have up to 20 days to deliver
 if sent to end bank office \$100
 to talk to "Deputy Eberhart".
 1204 xpl 222

4:30 Again called Marshall's office. G.R.
 Eberhart who said "paper is somewhere
 around" and he would come in
 the morning if he can find it. Told
 my name and making sure he
 would "like to bring it out to the
 house to look at it."

11:30

Lawyer called Marshall's office

29 June Called Marshall's office and asked
for Charles. -- in court; left message
for him to call.

30 July Called David Carls, just in,
will call me tomorrow. (628-3113)

July Called Marshall's office; Charles
not in; got his supervisor.
Asked him for help -- explaining
difficulties in matter; he said
he would straighten it out and
let me know.

2 July Called Carls just in, will call
back

1405 Called [redacted] talked to
[redacted] re case
supplying details to him. Sent
him copy of 3rd Party Complaint
and summons with his
signature.

10 July Went to Court house - got info on
incident. (EXTRACTS)

" Called [redacted] to file her in.
(Sent her BECHTOLD, USA letter head request certified)
(She had told me [redacted])

13 July Went to Court house again at
11:00
July Called Carls again; will return
any call.

14 Jul 0900 Talked to Carlman (my call) -
~~explained we had~~
 received his motion but so far
 had not been served with a summons
 or complaint. He said he was
 surprised we had not been served as
 he had received a copy by mail of
 a 3rd party complaint brought from
 his lawyers. Motion to quash intervention.

" 1100 Called Mr. Hanson; told him
 about the 3rd party complaint and
~~the 3rd party complaint~~
 his Marshall's statement on back.
 He said don't worry about it; no
 need to do anything until they
 serve us. Said they "the O.C. Marshall's"
 could not serve in Va. I replied,
 it was my impression they do it
 all the time and had done so as
 late as last week. "Oh!" he said.
 Said with "well served then get in
 touch. Also, said again that
 C.P. is not a suitable lawyer."

14 Jul - Received at home: Miss Sumner is
 a second summons issued after first
 one is returned - not served as first
 defective for some reason.

15 Jul

1 Jul Cartier called - said he
had given Soerel my name: ask
if he had called yet. - I said he
had not called me. Said
just - trying to work something out
Said I would try to find if
re ISL's dissolution

D

16 Jul Ask [redacted] for info
on ISL's dissolution

18 Jul Got info on dissolution - [redacted]
[redacted] D.

17 Jul Went to court house, looked at
file again: no subseq. series
on [redacted] information check in [redacted]
files viewed [redacted] file of me said
the [redacted] just [redacted] been sent

18 Told [redacted] of Cartier; he would like
copy of the ISL memo letter

18 July Anderson called; wanted to know
why we had not accepted series;
I told him generally, we had not.
He indicated they were not going to
do it until we [redacted] [redacted]
the point when the [redacted] for [redacted]
judgment is [redacted] then [redacted]

same an "blame" w/ NSA good
against its parties.

July

~~_____~~ said. This is a complaint
against CIA dismissed without prejudice

Carlson called; said judge
indicated he wants CIA ^{movement} ~~movement~~
in this suit demand for lack
of jurisdiction but would not
do so on his own motion.
Closed court until 2:00.

Carlson feels if we or US

Attorney could appear specially

for this purpose at 2:00, ~~it would mean our way out~~

it would mean our way out; ~~otherwise I can may drag out~~

otherwise I can may drag out

with NSA continuing to try to serve

us.

J. L. Woodbrake
1156 15th St. N.W.
Washington, D.C.

D.C. Ct of App

Judge district 11-941 to 11-963

~~11-976-985~~

Summons, return of service 13-302
303 & 331 to 341

U.S. marshal, power to be used to
execute writs in U.S. District Court.

11-941 In addition to other jurisdiction, has exclusive jurisdiction of civil actions, which demand value does not exceed \$10,000.

1) D.C. DC, 163 F. Supp 685, 1943. Mon. Ct. of D.C. has jurisdiction of suits brought by U.S.

2) D.C. Mon. Ct. 45 A 2d 475, 1955 - has jurisdiction in suits by U.S. to recover \$250.03 for rent.

3) 69 S. Ct. 1108, 93 L. Ed. 1006, 1945 - has jurisdiction for bringing action in D.C. Mon. Ct. for damages.

11-962 Transfer of civil actions from D.C. where less than \$10,000.

Civil actions transferred

13-302 U.S. Marshal same
D.C. 47 48 prison

(EXHIBITS 10 JUL 70) GS 1990-70

MAR 70 TSC filed Complaint Atty. General

MAR 70 Summons w/ complaint

MAR 70 Summons served on Charles Palmer, P.A. M.D.

7 APR 70 Michael Weissbaum - enters as Atty. for Def.

JUN 70 Letter to Lord Clark G.S., sending affidavit of
Charles F. Palmer - Atty. by oversight was
~~not filed~~ to appear at hearing for summary judgment

JUN 70 Palmer's affidavit filed

JUN 70 Juan A. del Real enters as Atty. for D.

JUN 70 D's interrogatories to P.

6 JUL 70 3rd Party Complaint - C.G.

6 JUL 70 Summons to C.G. filed w/ Marshall

7 JUN 70 On back of Summons to C.G. - @ Marshall's
return not filed in. Included the
following Defendant: C.G. White

S. Marshall to C.G.

James B. K. Grant

not to be found in my desk

JUN 70

D's answer - six defense points.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Somebody should not put in a motion
on P's argument for the defense.

JUN 70

Motion by P's Atty (Cahoon) to
quash interrogation.

11

Certified - he would copy to OGC, CCA
on 22 June 70

1

Opposition to motion for summary judgment
in which oppose P's motion for summary
judgment.

11

Affidavit of D. Cahoon
3 affidavits.

JUN 70

Memorandum of Points and Authorities
in support of P's motion for a
summary judgment.

5 JUN 70

P's statement of material facts as to
which there is no genuine issue.

JUN 70

P's motion for summary judgment.

5 JUN 70

D's motion for summary judgment
oppose P's motion for summary judgment
(Cahoon's motion).

22 JUN 73 - On folder - Mention Sub In
Hearing Jul 15, 1973. Notes Sub In